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The marine prosobranch gastropods, Eupleura caudata (Say) and Urosalpinx cincrea (Say), are among the most serious predators of the Eastern oyster (Crassostra airginica). The damage inflicted by these drills is estimated to be in the millions of dollars per year (Nelson, 1931: Galtsoff, Prytherch, and Engle. 1937). Both species are widely distributed along the coastal waters of the eastern United States, often inhabiting areas where salinity and temperature vary with seasonal and tidal cycles. The natural exposure of these gastropods to a fluctuating environment has led investigators to study the influence of salinity or temperature on their physiological activities.

Many investigators believed that drills begin to feed only above a certain critical temperature (Federighi, 1931a; Galtsoff et al., 1937; Cole. 19+2: Adams. 1947 ; Hancock, 1954). After the proposal of physiological speciation in oyster drills (Stauber, 1950; Loosanoff and Davis, 1951), however, many authorities accepted the suggestion that no one critical temperature exists at which all oyster drills begin feeding (Hanks, 1957; Franz, 1966). The effect of salinity on feeding rates of oyster drills has been investigated only superficially in field studies (Haskin, 1935 ; Sizer, 1936).

The initiation and rate of egg capsule deposition appear to be greatly influenced by temperature (Federighi, 1931a; Cole, 1942: Hancock. 1959; Franz, 1966. 1967), although other factors, such as food, availability of suitable substratum, and population density, may he contributory regulators of spawning intensity (Stauber, 1943: Carriker, 1955; Galtsoff ct al., 1937). Loosanoff and Davis (1951) reported that a single species-wide critical temperature for egg capsule deposition does not exist for $U$. cincrea. This situation could be expected from populations collected from different geographical areas but it also appears to hold true among populations collected from the same area. The effect of salinity on egg capsule deposition has been less thoroughly investigated, although available reports suggest that salinity is a regulator of spawning activities of drills (Federighi, 1931a; Stauber, 1943; Carriker, 1955).

Oyster drills appear to remain active over a relatively wide temperature range (Sizer. 1936; Hanks, 1957) ; however, the effect of salinity on drill survival is
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relatively unknown. Many reports on the effect of salinity on oyster drills are conflicting, particularly concerning the minimum salinity at which the drills can survive (Federighi, 1931b; Sizer, 1936; Griffith and Engle, 1962).

Sizer (1936), on the basis of his experimental results, concluded that $U$. cinerea are active only between 20 and $30 \%$. Galtsoff ct al. (1937) found drills active in Delaware Bay between 15 and 29/ico at summer temperatures. Statber (1943) concluded, from field observations and laboratory experiments, that $U$. cinerea remain active over a fairly wide salinity range and that they can move in salinities as low as $8 \%$ at low winter temperatures.

Many of the conclusions concerning the effects of temperature and salinity on oyster drills are conflicting. Although differences in individual experimental procedures and differences in test populations attributable to physiological speciation may account for some of these disparities, it is now evident that the effects of salinity and temperature camot be analyzed by monofactorial experiments. Kinne (1964) recognized that monofactorial experiments on the effects of a single envirommental variable may yield results that are ecologically invalid. He suggested that a polyfactorial approach be used whenever possible to analyze the influence of environmental stimuli.

Data are scant on the combined effects of two environmental stimuli on oyster drills. Stauber (1943), who was the first to evaluate the influence of temperature on the response of oyster drills to salinity, concluded that the survival of drills exposed to low salinities increased as the temperature was lowered and decreased as the temperature was increased. More recently, the combined effect of temperature and salinity on larval stages was determined for C. virginica and Mercenaria mercenaria (Davis and Calabrese, 1964) and for Mulinia lateralis (Calabrese, 1969). They concluded that the effects of these envirommental factors were interrelated ; changes of either factor influenced the effect of the other. The present bifactorial investigation was initiated to determine the combined effect of salinity and temperature on the feeding, reproduction, and survival of the two oyster drill species indigenous to Long Island Sound.

## Materials and Methods

## Collection of animals

The oyster drills, U. cincrea and E. caudata, were collected in Long Island Sound in the vicinity of the Norwalk Islands, Norwalk, Comnecticut. This area is extensively used for farming oysters and is characterized by relatively shallow depths, moderate currents, and a variety of bottom substrata (sand, silt, gravel). It is also one of the few known areas on the Connecticut shore where relatively large numbers of both drill species can be obtained. During July and August 1967, when the majority of the drills were collected, the bottom salinities averaged $27 \%$ and the temperatures $22.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Two methods were employed for capturing the drills. The first consisted of setting drill traps in the relatively shallow water ( 3.6 m ) off the southwest corner of Norwalk, town lot 19. The traps of chicken wire made into flat $6+\times 30 \mathrm{~cm}$ bags were baited with 1 -year-old oysters. At 2 -week intervals the traps were retrieved. drills collected, and the traps freshly baited and reset.

Although this method provided approximately equal numbers of both drill species, it did not yield the large numbers of drills required. The second and more efficient method consisted of hand-picking drills from bottom material brought to the surface by standard oyster dredges. Norwalk town lots 35, 50, 80, and 207 were dredged by the oyster boat, "Cultivator" (Bloom Bros.), and the Bureau of Commercial Fisheries research vessel, "Shang Wheeler." This procedure proved to be a rapid and reliable means of drill collection.

Oyster spat (3-13 months old) were dredged from the same area. Sone of the spat were from natural set (local and Fishers Island, New York) and some from commercial hatchery set (Bloom Bros.. Stratford, Connecticut, and Vanderborgh-Radel, Oyster Bay, New York).

## Conditioning

The drills were separated by species in the laboratory and placed in separate 60 -liter fiberglass aquaria. The aquaria, arranged on a laboratory water table, were each supplied with a separate continuous flow of sea water at normal temperature $\left(22.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and salinity ( $26.5 \%$ ). Clusters of young oyster spat were added to each aquarium to provide food and substrate for the drills during the 1-week acclimatization period.

To condition the drills to the various salinity-temperature combinations, the sea water in which the drills were kept was gradually brought to the appropriate temperature and salinity. The temperature of the water entering the conditioning aquarium containing the drills to be exposed to a series of salinities at a particular temperature was either increased or decreased $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ per day until the desired temperature was reached. The temperature was then held constant and the salinity was decreased by $1 \%$ per day until the desired salinity was reached.

As each salinity level was attained, groups of drills were removed from the conditioning aquaria and placed into a holding aquarium maintained at that temperature and salinity, until one holding aquarium was established at each of the four salinities to be tested. After 1 week in these holding aquaria and before the experiments the drills were live-sexed by the method described by Hargis (1957) and segregated by sex in separate aquaria. To insure that the drills were sexually mature, only females 20 mm or larger and males with a well-developed penis were used in the experiments.

Groups of 20 drills ( $1+$ females and 6 males) were then removed for use in the experimental trays. The remainder were kept in the holding aquarium throughout the experiment to supply conditioned drills to replace those that died during the experiment. All aquaria were drained and cleaned at 1 -week intervals.

The young $C$. virginica used as prey were not conditioned; they were held in aquaria supplied with ruming water at normal temperature and salinity.

## Experimental procedure

Each laboratory at the Milford Biological Laboratory of the Bureau of Commercial Fisheries is supplied with running hot and cold sea water, as well as cold fresh well water. By combining streams of water from these three sources a variety of temperatures and salinities can be obtained. An apparatus similar to
the one described by Loosanoff (1949) and Loosanoff and Smith (1950) was used to maintain a flow of water at the desired temperature and salinity. The required combinations of temperature and salinity were obtained by adjusting the rate of flow from each of the three water sources to several polyethylene mixing cylinders placed above a laboratory water table. The flow of water to the cylinders was adjusted so that the surplus continuously drained through the overflows, thus keeping the water level and head pressure constant in all cylinders. A Y-tube at the outlet from each cylinder provided two separate streams of water which supplied two fiberglass trays $(35 \mathrm{~cm} \times 48 \mathrm{~cm} \times 11.5 \mathrm{~cm})$, each containing 20 oyster


Figure 1. Feeding rates of Urosalpinx cinerea (1) and Euplcura caudata (2), per 10-day trial, on young Crassostrea virginica, at a series of controlled temperatures and salinities.
drills. The rate of flow to each tray was approximately 2 liters per minute. The trays were covered with small-mesh nylon netting to prevent the drills from escaping.

Three experiments were conducted to determine the combined effects of salinity and temperature on certain fundamental activities of $U$. cinerca and $E$. caudata. The same salinities $(12.5,15,20$, and $26.5 \pm 1 \%$ ) were repeated in each experiment, but the temperature was different. Salinity was measured daily by hydrometers calibrated at $17.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and corrected for temperature with the hydrographical tables of Knudsen (1901). Each experiment consisted of five 10 -day trials ; thus, the total elapsed time was 150 days. The salinity tolerance of the drills was studied at temperatures of 15,20 , and $25 \pm 1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The four salinities used in each of the three experiments were established by the method previously described. Two trays were kept at each salinity; one contained 20 U. cinerca and the other, 20 E . caudata. Both trays were stocked with approximately 60 spat ( $C$. virginica) on 5 to 6 clusters. The trays were examined
daily and were drained, cleaned, and restocked at 10 -day intervals. At the end of each 10-day trial the rates of feeding, ovipositing, and survival were determined. Consumed prey were distinguished from other prey mortalities by a simple cri-terion-the presence of a perforation through one of the valves. Dead drills were easily identified by the putrifying tissue usually observable in the shell aperture. Unusual podial extension or retraction, however, often indicated morbidity, and drills were tested for viability by pin-prick or exposure to water of normal temperature. Reproductive rates were measured by the numbers of egg capsules deposited during each 10 -day trial. Counts of egg numbers in each capsule were also made. With this procedure both drill species were studied simultaneously in the presence of the same prey species at the salinities and temperatures established for each experiment.

## Results and Discussion

## Effects of salinity and temperature on feeding

Feeding rates at the 12 salinity-temperature combinations were recorded as the mean number of oyster spat consumed per drill per 10 -day trial (Table I). At $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the feeding rates of both drill species increased from minimal ( 0.05 and 0.01 ) at a salinity of $12.5 \%$ to moderate ( 0.54 and 0.29 ) at $26.5 \%$. At the lower salinities ( 12.5 and $15 \%$ ) the drills remained relatively inactive, exhibiting little tendency to move toward or attack prey; however, they were firmly attached to the trays or shell clusters. As the salinity increased, general drill activity increased.

Feeding rates at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were significantly higher at all salinities than at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table I). At $12.5 \%$ the number of prey consumed per drill per trial was still

Table I
Feeding rates of Lrosalpinx cinerea and Eupleura caudata during five 10-day trials at a series of controlled water temperatures and salinities*

| Drill species and salinity oloo | Average no. of prey consumed per trial |  |  | Average no. of prey consumed per drill per trial |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | Temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |
|  | 15.0 | 20.0 | 25.0 | 15.0 | 20.0 | 25.0 |
| U. cinerea |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.5 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.10 |
| 15.0 | 2.8 | 9.4 | 10.6 | 0.14 | 0.47 | 0.53 |
| 20.0 | 5.4 | 21.0 | 23.2 | 0.27 | 1.05 | 1.16 |
| 26.5 | 10.8 | 26.0 | 33.2 | 0.54 | 1.30 | 1.66 |
| E. caudata |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.5 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.08 |
| 15.0 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 4.4 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.22 |
| 20.0 | 2.8 | 10.8 | 11.4 | 0.14 | 0.54 | 0.57 |
| 26.5 | 5.8 | 16.2 | 27.0 | 0.29 | 0.81 | 1.35 |

[^0]low ( 0.09 and 0.03 ) but the combined increase in feeding of both drill species with each increase in salinity was higher than it was at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At normal salinity $(26.5 \%)$ the feeding rates of both drills were relatively high ( 1.30 and 0.81 ).

At all salinities the drills held at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ showed the highest feeding rates (Table I). The rate of prey consumption increased from 0.10 and 0.08 oyster spat per drill per trial at $12.5 \%$ to the maximum observed rates of 1.66 and 1.35 at normal salinity $(26.5 \%)$. At no time during the experiment did the drills consume all the prey available ; thus, availability of food did not limit feeding.

At each salinity the feeding rates of both species increased with each increase in temperature; also, at each temperature they increased with each increase in salinity. The increased feeding rates at high temperatures were expected (Hanks, 1957), but the increased feeding rates with each increase in salinity did not agree with the findings of Haskin (1935). He found that the rate of feeding was not appreciably altered within the salinity range of his study ( 10 to $25^{\prime} / \mathrm{cc}$ ). Results of the present series of experiments do support Haskin's conclusion that feeding stops below $10-12 \%$, but they also indicate that the feeding rates of both species of drills are appreciably altered by salinity at all three temperatures studied. The feeding rates of both $U$. cincrea and $E$. caudata at normal salinity $(26.5 \%$ ) at each temperature agreed with previous feeding rates established for $U$. cinerea (Hanks, 1957) and E. caudata (Manzi, in preparation).

A comparison of the feeding rates of the two drills showed that $U$. cincrea was consistently the more voracious oyster predator at all temperature-salinity combinations studied (Fig. 1). At the lower combinations E. caudata consumed young oyster spat at approximately one-third of the rate exhibited by $V^{\top}$. cinerea. At the higher combinations, however, the disparity was considerably reduced. These observations indicate that $U$. cinerea is probably the more serious predator of $C$. virginica; it should not be inferred, however, that $U$. cinera is the more voracious predator on other common prey of the two drills.

Both drill species were cannibalistic in many of the experimental trays. The rate of cannibalism increased as the feeding rates increased, and the highest incidence of camibalism was at the optimum feeding conditions ( $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 26.5 \%$ ) . Although cannibalism has been observed in both drill species previously (Flower, 1954 ; C. L. MacKenzie, Jr., Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, Milford, Connecticut, personal communication), findings of the present study confirm my previous observations (Manzi, in preparation) of cannibalism throughout the limits of the drill's feeding range in the presence of alternative food sources. Both drill species were cannibalistic, but $E$. caudata was the more so, which may have had a significant bearing on the results observed. In all cases where active cannibalism was observed the predators were always female drills.

Statistical evaluation of the results by " $t$ " tests revealed that the differences in feeding rates at the progressively increasing salinities were significant ( $95 \%$ confidence level, $\mathrm{t}>4.604$ with d.f. $=4$ ), for each species of drill, at all temperature levels. At $12.5 \%$ salinity " t " tests showed no significant difference in feeding rates at temperatures of 15,20 , and $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At all higher salinities, differences in feeding rates at the three different temperatures were significant at the $95 \%$ confidence level.

## Effect of salinity and temperature on reproduction

Neither species of oyster drill deposited egg capsules at salinities of $15 \%$ or lower (Table 11). At $20 \%$ U . cinerca did not deposit egg capsules at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ although E. caudata deposited a few (13). At higher temperatures both species of drills deposited egg capsules, and both species deposited more capsules at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ than at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table II). At a salinity of $26.5 \%$ both drills deposited egg capsules at all temperatures tested ( 15,20 , and $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

Within the range of temperature and salinity at which oviposition occurs, the number of capsules deposited by E. caudata and $U$. cincrea increased with each increase in temperature and salinity (Fig. 2). These results indicate that the

Table II
Reproductive rates of Urosalpinx cinerea and Eupleura caudata during fire 10-day trials at a series of controlled water temperatures and salinities*

| Drill species and salinity $\%$ | Total no. of egg capsules deposited |  |  | Average no. of eggs per capsule |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | Temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |
|  | 15.0 | 20.0 | 25.0 | 15.0 | 20.0 | 25.0 |
| $U$. cinerea |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $1)$ | 0 | 0 |
| 15.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $1)$ | 0 | 0 |
| 20.0 | 0 | 135 | 174 | 0 | 11.6 | 13.2 |
| 26.5 | 108 | 261 | 271 | 9.8 | 10.8 | 10.0 |
| E. candata |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15.0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20.0 | 13 | 21 | 108 | 18.6 | 17.8 | 20.4 |
| 26.5 | 33 | 158 | 312 | 19.4 | 18.8 | 19.6 |

* 20 drills ( 14 females and 6 males) were used in each trial.
effects of temperature and salinity on reproduction of oyster drills are interrelated, and confirm the conclusions of Haskin (1935) and Stauber (1943) that oyster drills can survive in areas where they cannot reproduce.

The reproductive rates of $U$. cincrea and $E$. caudata were not greatly different ; $U$. cincrea, however, deposited slightly more egg capsules than $E$. caudata at most of the temperature-salinity combinations within the range at which oviposition occurred.

The number of eggs per capsule did not vary with temperature and salinity. The number of eggs per capsule differed significantly, however, between species. $U$. cincrea deposited an average of 11.1 eggs per capsule, with a range of means from 9.8 to 13.2 eggs per capsule (Table II), and E. caudata deposited almost twice as many, an average of 19.1 and a range from 17.8 to 20.4 eggs per capsule. The lack of variation in the number of ova per capsule for each drill species at the different temperature-salinity combinations could be due to an effect on production of either ripe ova or egg capsules. The increased reproductive rate


Figure 2. Reproductive rates of Urosalpinx cincrea (1) and Euplcura caudata (2), per 10-day trial, at a series of controlled temperatures and salinities.
at higher temperatures and salinities, however, may be the result of a generally increased metabolic rate affecting both ripening of ova and production of egg capsules.

Effects of salinity and temperature on survival
Drill mortalities were recorded as the mean number of drills dying during a 10-day trial, as well as the percentage mortality per trial (Table III). The lowest

Table III
Mortality rates of Urosalpinx cinerea and Eupleura caudata during five 10-day trials at a series of controlled water temperatures and salinities

| Drill species and salinity $\%$ | Average no. of drill deaths per trial |  |  | Per cent drill mortality per trial |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | Temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |
|  | 15.0 | 20.0 | 25.0 | 15.0 | 20.0 | 25.0 |
| L. cinerea |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.5 | 1.6 | 3.8 | 6.8 | 8 | 19 | 34 |
| 15.0 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 3.4 | 10 | 12 | 17 |
| 20.0 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 4 | 5 | 7 |
| 26.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 1 | 2 | 6 |
| E. caudata |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.5 | 2.2 | 4.0 | 8.2 | 11 | 20 | 41 |
| 15.0 | 3.0 | 3.6 | 4.4 | 15 | 18 | 22 |
| 20.0 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 2.4 | 4 | 5 | 12 |
| 26.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 2 | 3 | 7 |

death rate at each salinity was at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The highest mortalities of $U$. cinerca and $E$. caudata at this temperature occurred at $15 \%$ salinity ( 10 and $15 \%$, respectively). At normal salinity and $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ mortalities of the two species were lower ( 1 and $2 \%$, respectively) than for any other temperature-salinity combination tested.

At $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $12.5 \%$ salinity $U$. cinerea displayed $19 \%$ mortality and $E$. caudata $20 \%$. Mortality at this temperature decreased with each increase in salinity and the lowest mortality at this temperature was at $26.5 \%$ : U. cincrea, $2 \%$ and $E$. caudata, $3 \%$.


Figure 3. Mortalities of Urosalpin.x cinerea (1) and Euplcura caudata (2) at a series of controlled temperatures and salinities.

The highest mortality at all salinities was at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At this temperature and $12.5 \%$ salinity, $U$. cinerea and E. caudata exhibited mortalities of 34 and $41 \%$, respectively-higher than at any other temperature-salinity combination tested. Again, the mortalities decreased as the salinity increased, and the lowest mortality at this temperature was at $26.5 \%$.

The ability of oyster drills to survive, particularly at low salinities, was markedly affected by temperature. Both species of drills exhibited progressively higher mortalities as the temperature was increased at each salinity, and at $12.5 \%$ the mortality rates were approximately four times higher at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ than at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Fig. 3).

These observations may explain the survival of drills in areas where seasonal fluctuations in salinity are wide. In late winter and early spring, when the salinity of coastal waters is lowest, the water temperature is still low enough for drills to withstand the low salinities for some time. E. caudata, however, was less tolerant
than $U$. cincrea to lower salinities at all temperatures studied; this feature could explain the absence of E. caudata in intertidal zones and other areas with wide fluctuations in salinity where $U$. cincrea is frequently found.

At the temperature-salinity combinations where feeding rates were moderate to high, cannibalism accounted for a relatively large part of the drill mortalities. At the high temperature-low salinity combinations, where the mortalities were highest, cannibalism was rare and did not significantly affect the mortalities recorded.

I thank Dr. James E. Hanks, Dr. Charles Steimmetz, Jr., and Mr. Chester Bosworth for their continued assistance and encouragement during the period of my graduate studies and the preparation of this paper, and Mr. Harry C. Davis, Dr. Robert A. Murchelano, and Dr. Anthony Calabrese for their counsel and constructive criticism of the typescript.

## Summary

1. At all temperatures studied the limited feeding of Urosalpin.r cinerea and Eupleura caudata at $12.5 \%$ indicates that this salinity is near the lower limit for feeding.
2. The feeding rates increased with each increase in temperature and salinity.
3. The maximum feeding rates were at the highest temperature-salinity combination studied ( $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 26.5 \%$ ).
4. At all temperature and salinity combinations $U$. cincrea consumed more oyster spat than did E. caudata. Given equal populations, therefore, $U$. cinerea is the more important of the two species as a predator of Crassostrea virginica.
5. Both species of drills exhibited camibalism in the presence of alternative food sources, but $E$. caudata did so to a greater extent than $L^{l}$. cinerea.
6. Cannibalism increased as the feeding rate increased, and the highest incidence of cannibalism was at optimum feeding conditions.
7. In all instances of camibalism the predators were female drills.
S. The mortality rates of both species of drills increased with increasing temperature and decreasing salinity.
8. Mortality was highest at the highest temperature and lowest salinity combination ( $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12.5 \%$ ) and lowest at the lowest temperature and highest salinity ( $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 26.5 \%$ ).
9. E. caudata was less tolerant than $U$. cinerea to low salinities at all temperatures.
10. E. caudata began ovipositing at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $20 \%$, and $U$. cincrea at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $20 \%$.
11. The number of egg capsules deposited by each species of drill increased with each increase in temperature and salinity; the maximum number was deposited at $26.5 \%$ at all temperatures studied.
12. The number of eggs in each capsule did not appear to be affected by temperature or salinity.
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[^0]:    * In each trial 20 drills were held in a tray with approximately 60 Crassostrea airginica spat.

